

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,314	09/18/2003	Anthony W. Russell	SMAR002 7896	
21322 7	590 07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
MARK A OATHOUT			BENNETT, GEORGE B	
3701 KIRBY DRIVE, SUITE 960 HOUSTON, TX 77098			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
11000101.,	,,,,,,		2050	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/666,314	RUSSELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		G. Bradley Bennett	2859			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🗆	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	,	animon, recta the attached Cines	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		4.0			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)		D.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 and 3</u> .	6) Other:	(

Application/Control Number: 10/666,314

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-5 and 9-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

These claims all refer to "the sensor" when a plurality of sensors have been set forth. It is unclear which sensor the Applicant is referring to. Please clarify.

2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claim 7, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

 See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2859

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claims 1, 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Harrison.
- 7. Harrison discloses the invention as claimed where: an instrument package in a drill string that includes accelerometers and rate gyro is shown in FIG 3. The instrument is rotated with the drill string and inclination angle and azimuth angle are determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison.
- 10. Harrison discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Harrison does not disclose the sensors to be in the locations as claimed. This is merely an obvious shift in the location of parts disclosed by Harrison and does not render the claimed device patentable since no unexpected results are obtained [see *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)]. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to place the sensors in the positions as claimed based on manufacturing or end-user preferences.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,314 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

11. Claims 5, 6, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison in view of Van Steenwyk (US Pat. No. 4,706,388).

- 12. Harrison discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Harrison does not disclose the integration as claimed. Van Steenwyk teaches to perform integration for the purpose of calculating desired measurements. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use integrations as claimed as an alternative means to calculate measurements using the Harrison device.
- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,314

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Bradley (Bennett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 15 JUL 2004